Ohio's State Tests

English Language Arts Argumentation Writing Rubric Grades 6–12

UPDATED July 2018

Ohio's State Test Argumentation Writing Rubric, Grades 6–12

Ohio's State Test Argumentation Writing Rubric, Grades 6-12 (Score points within each domain include most of the characteristics below.)				
Score	Purpose, Focus, and Organization (4-points)	Evidence and Elaboration (4-points)	Conventions of Standard English (Begins at score point 2)	
4	 The response is fully sustained and consistently focused within the purpose, audience, and task; and it has a clear claim/thesis statement and effective organizational structure creating coherence and completeness. The response includes most of the following: A strongly maintained claim/thesis statement with little or no loosely related material Clearly addressed alternate or opposing claims* Skillful use of a variety of transitional strategies to clarify the relationships between and among ideas Logical progression of ideas from beginning to end with a satisfying introduction and conclusion Appropriate style and tone established and maintained 	 The response provides thorough, convincing, and credible support, citing evidence for the writer's claim/thesis statement that includes the effective use of sources, facts, and details. The response includes most of the following: Smoothly integrated, thorough, and relevant evidence, including precise references to sources Effective use of a variety of elaborative techniques to support the claim/thesis statement, demonstrating an understanding of the topic and text Clear and effective expression of ideas, using precise language Academic and domain-specific vocabulary clearly appropriate for the audience and purpose Varied sentence structure, demonstrating 		
3	 The response is adequately sustained and generally focused within the purpose, audience, and task; and it has a clear claim/thesis statement and evident organizational structure with a sense of completeness. The response includes most of the following: A maintained claim/thesis statement, though some loosely related material may be present Alternate or opposing claims included but may not be completely addressed* Adequate use of a variety of transitional strategies to clarify the relationships between and among ideas Adequate progression of ideas from beginning to end with a sufficient introduction and conclusion Appropriate style and tone established 	 language facility The response provides adequate support, citing evidence for the writer's claim/thesis statement that includes the use of sources, facts, and details. The response includes most of the following: Generally integrated and relevant evidence from sources, though references may be general or imprecise Adequate use of some elaborative techniques Adequate expression of ideas, employing a mix of precise and general language Domain-specific vocabulary generally appropriate for the audience and purpose Some variation in sentence structure 		

Score	Purpose, Focus, and Organization (4-points)	Evidence and Elaboration (4-points)	Conventions of Standard English (2-points)
2	 The response is somewhat sustained within the purpose, audience, and task but may include loosely related or extraneous material; and it may have a claim/thesis statement with an inconsistent organizational structure. The response may include the following: A focused claim/thesis statement but insufficiently sustained or unclear Insufficiently addressed alternate or opposing claims* Inconsistent use of transitional strategies with little variety Uneven progression of ideas from beginning to end with an inadequate introduction or conclusion 	 The response provides uneven, cursory support/evidence for the writer's claim/thesis statement that includes partial use of sources, facts, and details. The response may include the following: Weakly integrated evidence from sources; erratic or irrelevant references or citations Repetitive or ineffective use of elaborative techniques Imprecise or simplistic expression of ideas Some use of inappropriate domain-specific vocabulary Most sentences limited to simple constructions 	 The response demonstrates an adequate command of basic conventions. The response may include the following: Some minor errors in usage but no patterns of errors Adequate use of punctuation, capitalization, sentence formation, and spelling
1	 The response is related to the topic but may demonstrate little awareness of the purpose, audience, and task; may have an unclear claim/thesis statement and a limited organizational structure. The response may include the following: A confusing, or ambiguous claim/thesis statement Confusing alternate or opposing claims* Few transitional strategies Frequent extraneous ideas that impede understanding Too brief to demonstrate knowledge of focus or organization 	 The response provides minimal support/evidence for the writer's claim/thesis statement, including little use of sources, facts, and details. The response may include the following: Minimal, erroneous, or irrelevant evidence or citations from the source material Expression of ideas that is vague, unclear, or confusing Limited and often inappropriate language or domain-specific vocabulary Sentences limited to simple constructions 	 The response demonstrates a partial command of basic conventions. The response may include the following: Various errors in usage Inconsistent use of correct punctuation, capitalization, sentence formation, and spelling
0	 This response is minimally related to the topic and demonstrates no awareness of the purpose, audience and task; and has no focus or organizational structure. The response may: Be blank or show a written refusal to answer Be presented in a language other than English Include only a restatement of the stem Consist of random keystroke characters Include only bulleted points Include no transitional strategies Have no evidence of a claim/counterclaim 	 The response provides no evidence related to the argument, claim/thesis statement or the passages. It may include: Only direct copy of part of the reading selection No relevant domain-specific vocabulary No evidence or citations from the source material(s) 	The response demonstrates a lack of command of conventions, with frequent and severe errors often obscuring meaning.

*Not applicable at grade 6